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# Introduction:

The heat capacity of a substance tells us how much energy must be put into a system to get a certain amount of change in the temperature.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Equation 1: The first law | (1) |

There are different attributes a system may have which yield large simplifications. Adiabatic means no heat transfer between the system and the surroundings (and using equation 1: ). Alternatively, a system may be isochoric, where the volume is held constant (and ).

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Equation 2: the combined gas law | (2) |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Equation 3: During adiabatic expansion this equation is true using the following definition for gamma | (3) |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Equation 4: The definition of gamma and a derived equivalence using only measurable variables | (4) |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | (5)  (6) |

# Experimental Methods:

A 5-gallon glass jug was used as a vessel. This was eventually closed by a three-holed rubber stopper with outlet tubes A, B and C. Before beginning the experiment, the vessel was filled with either argon or nitrogen. The procedure for both was identical: the vessel was lowered upside down into a water bath with a tube allowing air in the vessel to be displaced by the water. The same tube was plugged into a regulator on the gas cylinder and the gas was allowed to displace the water in vessel until gas flowed freely out the surface of the water. The vessel was quickly raised out of the water bath and capped with the rubber stopper. Tube A was attached to a digital pressure gauge to determine the difference between ambient atmospheric pressure and the pressure in the vessel. Tube B was attached to the gas canister and tube C was clamped closed. Temperature T1 was recorded.

The vessel was pressurized to around 1.6 psi above atmospheric and allowed to return to room temperature. The pressure was checked again to ensure 1.6 psi after thermal contraction and to ensure there were no leaks in the system. The pressure P1 was recorded. The gas was allowed to adiabatically expand by quickly unclamping tube C and reclamping it. After thermal equilibrium the pressure P3 was measured. Two trials of nitrogen and two of Argon were completed. Between trials 2 and 3, the atmospheric pressure P2 was measured along with ambient room temperature T1 for later calculations.

# Results and Discussion:

The calculation of P1 and P3 are relative to the measurement of P2. This value was acquired from IBM’s Weather Data API on August 31, 2022 as 30.03±0.01inHg. [?] The conversion from inHg to psi went as follows:

Next, the uncertainty must be propagated to P1 and P3. For example:

Now we can calculate gamma using equation 4:

Oh no! This is getting complicated. Let’s use Mathematica to derive the partial derivates.
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Figure 1: The calculation of the uncertainty of gamma values using Mathematica. In[1] shows the definition of the gamma function followed by the data points. In[4] shows the calculation of gammas for each trial. In[5] shows an example calculation of the partial derivative of gamma. In[6-8] is the partial derivatives with respect to P1, P2 and P3 respectively. In[9] is an example calculation of the uncertainty of gamma for trial 1. In[10] is all gamma values and uncertainties

Yikes! That didn’t get any simpler. Oh well, here’s all the data.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | N2 trial 1 | N2 trial 2 | Ar trial 1 | Ar trial 2 |
| P1, gauge (psi) | 1.66±0.01 | 1.64±0.01 | 1.63±0.01 | 1.71±0.01 |
| P3, gauge (psi) | 0.45±0.01 | 0.43±0.01 | 0.41±0.01 | 0.58±0.01 |
| P1 (psi) | 16.409±0.006 | 16.389±0.006 | 16.379±0.006 | 16.459±0.006 |
| P3 (psi) | 15.20±0.02 | 15.18±0.02 | 15.16±0.02 | 15.33±0.02 |
| γ | 1.39±0.08 | 1.37±0.08 | 1.35±0.08 | 1.5±0.1 |

Table : Wow! Look at all that data.

and for all trials.

Now we can calculate the mean of each gas using the student-t distribution. With 95% confidence and 1 degree of freedom using a two tailed distribution, the critical value is 12.71[source?].

# Conclusions:

Safety:

There is no important safety information for nitrogen as it is already in large quantities in our atmosphere. Argon is also in abundant quantities in our atmosphere so there is no important safety information with the chemicals themselves. However, when working around high pressure gas cylinders it is always necessary to ensure they will not tip over. When using regulators, close the main valve when not in use and always open it slowly to begin.

# References:

# Appendix:

Calculations:

To propagate errors for P1 and P3
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